

Geometry & Topology Monographs  
 Volume 3: Invitation to higher local fields  
 Part I, section 17, pages 143–150

## 17. An approach to higher ramification theory

*Igor Zhukov*

We use the notation of sections 1 and 10.

### 17.0. Approach of Hyodo and Fesenko

Let  $K$  be an  $n$ -dimensional local field,  $L/K$  a finite abelian extension. Define a filtration on  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  (cf. [H], [F, sect. 4]) by

$$\text{Gal}(L/K)^{\mathbf{i}} = \Upsilon_{L/K}^{-1}(U_{\mathbf{i}} K_n^{\text{top}}(K) + N_{L/K} K_n^{\text{top}}(L)/N_{L/K} K_n^{\text{top}}(L)), \quad \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,$$

where  $U_{\mathbf{i}} K_n^{\text{top}}(K) = \{U_{\mathbf{i}}\} \cdot K_{n-1}^{\text{top}}(K)$ ,  $U_{\mathbf{i}} = 1 + P_K(\mathbf{i})$ ,

$$\Upsilon_{L/K}^{-1}: K_n^{\text{top}}(K)/N_{L/K} K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(L/K)$$

is the reciprocity map.

Then for a subextension  $M/K$  of  $L/K$

$$\text{Gal}(M/K)^{\mathbf{i}} = \text{Gal}(L/K)^{\mathbf{i}} \text{Gal}(L/M)/\text{Gal}(L/M)$$

which is a higher dimensional analogue of Herbrand's theorem. However, if one defines a generalization of the Hasse–Herbrand function and lower ramification filtration, then for  $n > 1$  the lower filtration on a subgroup does not coincide with the induced filtration in general.

Below we shall give another construction of the ramification filtration of  $L/K$  in the two-dimensional case; details can be found in [Z], see also [KZ]. This construction can be considered as a development of an approach by K. Kato and T. Saito in [KS].

**Definition.** Let  $K$  be a complete discrete valuation field with residue field  $k_K$  of characteristic  $p$ . A finite extension  $L/K$  is called *ferociously ramified* if  $|L : K| = |k_L : k_K|_{\text{ins}}$ .

In addition to the nice ramification theory for totally ramified extensions, there is a nice ramification theory for ferociously ramified extensions  $L/K$  such that  $k_L/k_K$  is generated by one element; the reason is that in both cases the ring extension  $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$  is monogenic, i.e., generated by one element, see section 18.

## 17.1. Almost constant extensions

Everywhere below  $K$  is a complete discrete valuation field with residue field  $k_K$  of characteristic  $p$  such that  $|k_K : k_K^p| = p$ . For instance,  $K$  can be a two-dimensional local field, or  $K = \mathbb{F}_q(X_1)((X_2))$  or the quotient field of the completion of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]_{(p)}$  with respect to the  $p$ -adic topology.

**Definition.** For the field  $K$  define a base (sub)field  $B$  as

$$B = \mathbb{Q}_p \subset K \text{ if } \text{char}(K) = 0,$$

$$B = \mathbb{F}_p((\rho)) \subset K \text{ if } \text{char}(K) = p, \text{ where } \rho \text{ is an element of } K \text{ with } v_K(\rho) > 0.$$

Denote by  $k_0$  the completion of  $B(\mathcal{R}_K)$  inside  $K$ . Put  $k = k_0^{\text{alg}} \cap K$ .

The subfield  $k$  is a maximal complete subfield of  $K$  with perfect residue field. It is called a *constant subfield* of  $K$ . A constant subfield is defined canonically if  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ . Until the end of section 17 we assume that  $B$  (and, therefore,  $k$ ) is fixed.

By  $v$  we denote the valuation  $K^{\text{alg}*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  normalized so that  $v(B^*) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example.** If  $K = F\{T\}$  where  $F$  is a mixed characteristic complete discrete valuation field with perfect residue field, then  $k = F$ .

**Definition.** An extension  $L/K$  is said to be *constant* if there is an algebraic extension  $l/k$  such that  $L = Kl$ .

An extension  $L/K$  is said to be *almost constant* if  $L \subset L_1L_2$  for a constant extension  $L_1/K$  and an unramified extension  $L_2/K$ .

A field  $K$  is said to be *standard*, if  $e(K|k) = 1$ , and *almost standard*, if some finite unramified extension of  $K$  is a standard field.

**Epp's theorem on elimination of wild ramification.** ([E], [KZ]) *Let  $L$  be a finite extension of  $K$ . Then there is a finite extension  $k'$  of a constant subfield  $k$  of  $K$  such that  $e(Lk'|Kk') = 1$ .*

**Corollary.** *There exists a finite constant extension of  $K$  which is a standard field.*

*Proof.* See the proof of the Classification Theorem in 1.1.

**Lemma.** *The class of constant (almost constant) extensions is closed with respect to taking compositums and subextensions. If  $L/K$  and  $M/L$  are almost constant then  $M/K$  is almost constant as well.*

**Definition.** Denote by  $L_c$  the maximal almost constant subextension of  $K$  in  $L$ .

### Properties.

- (1) Every tamely ramified extension is almost constant. In other words, the (first) ramification subfield in  $L/K$  is a subfield of  $L_c$ .
- (2) If  $L/K$  is normal then  $L_c/K$  is normal.
- (3) There is an unramified extension  $L'_0$  of  $L_0$  such that  $L_c L'_0 / L_0$  is a constant extension.
- (4) There is a constant extension  $L'_c / L_c$  such that  $L L'_c / L'_c$  is ferociously ramified and  $L'_c \cap L = L_c$ . This follows immediately from Epp's theorem.

The principal idea of the proposed approach to ramification theory is to split  $L/K$  into a tower of three extensions:  $L_0/K$ ,  $L_c/L_0$ ,  $L/L_c$ , where  $L_0$  is the inertia subfield in  $L/K$ . The ramification filtration for  $\text{Gal}(L_c/L_0)$  reflects that for the corresponding extensions of constants subfields. Next, to construct the ramification filtration for  $\text{Gal}(L/L_c)$ , one reduces to the case of ferociously ramified extensions by means of Epp's theorem. (In the case of higher local fields one can also construct a filtration on  $\text{Gal}(L_0/K)$  by lifting that for the first residue fields.)

Now we give precise definitions.

## 17.2. Lower and upper ramification filtrations

Keep the assumption of the previous subsection. Put

$$\mathcal{A} = \{-1, 0\} \cup \{(\mathfrak{c}, s) : 0 < s \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{(\mathfrak{i}, r) : 0 < r \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

This set is linearly ordered as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} -1 &< 0 < (\mathfrak{c}, i) < (\mathfrak{i}, j) \text{ for any } i, j; \\ (\mathfrak{c}, i) &< (\mathfrak{c}, j) \text{ for any } i < j; \\ (\mathfrak{i}, i) &< (\mathfrak{i}, j) \text{ for any } i < j. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition.** Let  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . For any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  we define a subgroup  $G_\alpha$  in  $G$ .

Put  $G_{-1} = G$ , and denote by  $G_0$  the inertia subgroup in  $G$ , i.e.,

$$G_0 = \{g \in G : v(g(a) - a) > 0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{O}_L\}.$$

Let  $L_c/K$  be constant, and let it contain no unramified subextensions. Then define

$$G_{\mathfrak{c}, i} = \text{pr}^{-1}(\text{Gal}(l/k)_i)$$

where  $l$  and  $k$  are the constant subfields in  $L$  and  $K$  respectively,

$$\text{pr}: \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(l/k) = \text{Gal}(l/k)_0$$

is the natural projection and  $\text{Gal}(l/k)_i$  are the classical ramification subgroups. In the general case take an unramified extension  $K'/K$  such that  $K'L/K'$  is constant and contains no unramified subextensions, and put  $G_{\mathfrak{c},i} = \text{Gal}(K'L/K')_{\mathfrak{c},i}$ .

Finally, define  $G_{\mathfrak{i},i}$ ,  $i > 0$ . Assume that  $L_c$  is standard and  $L/L_c$  is ferociously ramified. Let  $t \in \mathcal{O}_L$ ,  $\bar{t} \notin k_L^p$ . Define

$$G_{\mathfrak{i},i} = \{g \in G : v(g(t) - t) \geq i\}$$

for all  $i > 0$ .

In the general case choose a finite extension  $l'/l$  such that  $l'L_c$  is standard and  $e(l'L|l'L_c) = 1$ . Then it is clear that  $\text{Gal}(l'L/l'L_c) = \text{Gal}(L/L_c)$ , and  $l'L/l'L_c$  is ferociously ramified. Define

$$G_{\mathfrak{i},i} = \text{Gal}(l'L/l'L_c)_{\mathfrak{i},i}$$

for all  $i > 0$ .

**Proposition.** *For a finite Galois extension  $L/K$  the lower filtration  $\{\text{Gal}(L/K)_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  is well defined.*

**Definition.** Define a generalization  $h_{L/K} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  of the Hasse–Herbrand function. First, we define

$$\Phi_{L/K} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{L/K}(\alpha) &= \alpha \quad \text{for } \alpha = -1, 0; \\ \Phi_{L/K}((\mathfrak{c}, i)) &= \left( \mathfrak{c}, \frac{1}{e(L|K)} \int_0^i |\text{Gal}(L_c/K)_{\mathfrak{c},t}| dt \right) \quad \text{for all } i > 0; \\ \Phi_{L/K}((\mathfrak{i}, i)) &= \left( \mathfrak{i}, \int_0^i |\text{Gal}(L/K)_{\mathfrak{i},t}| dt \right) \quad \text{for all } i > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\Phi_{L/K}$  is bijective and increasing, and we introduce

$$h_{L/K} = \Psi_{L/K} = \Phi_{L/K}^{-1}.$$

Define the upper filtration  $\text{Gal}(L/K)^\alpha = \text{Gal}(L/K)_{h_{L/K}(\alpha)}$ .

All standard formulas for intermediate extensions take place; in particular, for a normal subgroup  $H$  in  $G$  we have  $H_\alpha = H \cap G_\alpha$  and  $(G/H)^\alpha = G^\alpha H/H$ . The latter relation enables one to introduce the upper filtration for an infinite Galois extension as well.

**Remark.** The filtrations do depend on the choice of a constant subfield (in characteristic  $p$ ).

**Example.** Let  $K = \mathbb{F}_p((t))(\!(\pi)\!).$  Choose  $k = B = \mathbb{F}_p((\pi))$  as a constant subfield. Let  $L = K(b)$ ,  $b^p - b = a \in K$ . Then

- if  $a = \pi^{-i}$ ,  $i$  prime to  $p$ , then the ramification break of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  is  $(\mathfrak{c}, i)$ ;
- if  $a = \pi^{-pi}t$ ,  $i$  prime to  $p$ , then the ramification break of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  is  $(\mathfrak{i}, i)$ ;
- if  $a = \pi^{-it}$ ,  $i$  prime to  $p$ , then the ramification break of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  is  $(\mathfrak{i}, i/p)$ ;
- if  $a = \pi^{-it^p}$ ,  $i$  prime to  $p$ , then the ramification break of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  is  $(\mathfrak{i}, i/p^2)$ .

**Remark.** A dual filtration on  $K/\wp(K)$  is computed in the final version of [Z], see also [KZ].

### 17.3. Refinement for a two-dimensional local field

Let  $K$  be a two-dimensional local field with  $\text{char}(k_K) = p$ , and let  $k$  be the constant subfield of  $K$ . Denote by

$$\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2): (K^{\text{alg}})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$$

the extension of the rank 2 valuation of  $K$ , which is normalized so that:

- $v_2(a) = v(a)$  for all  $a \in K^*$ ,
- $v_1(u) = w(\bar{u})$  for all  $u \in U_{K^{\text{alg}}}$ , where  $w$  is a non-normalized extension of  $v_{k_K}$  on  $k_K^{\text{alg}}$ , and  $\bar{u}$  is the residue of  $u$ ,
- $\mathbf{v}(c) = (0, e(k|B)^{-1}v_k(c))$  for all  $c \in k$ .

It can be easily shown that  $\mathbf{v}$  is uniquely determined by these conditions, and the value group of  $\mathbf{v}|_{K^*}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

Next, we introduce the index set

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathbb{Q}_+^2 = \mathcal{A} \cup \{(i_1, i_2) : i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{Q}, i_2 > 0\}$$

and extend the ordering of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_2$  assuming

$$(\mathfrak{i}, i_2) < (i_1, i_2) < (i'_1, i_2) < (\mathfrak{i}, i'_2)$$

for all  $i_2 < i'_2$ ,  $i_1 < i'_1$ .

Now we can define  $G_{i_1, i_2}$ , where  $G$  is the Galois group of a given finite Galois extension  $L/K$ . Assume first that  $L_c$  is standard and  $L/L_c$  is ferociously ramified. Let  $t \in \mathcal{O}_L$ ,  $\bar{t} \notin k_L^p$  (e.g., a first local parameter of  $L$ ). We define

$$G_{i_1, i_2} = \{g \in G : \mathbf{v}(t^{-1}g(t) - 1) \geq (i_1, i_2)\}$$

for  $i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $i_2 > 0$ . In the general case we choose  $l'/l$  ( $l$  is the constant subfield of both  $L$  and  $L_c$ ) such that  $l'L_c$  is standard and  $l'L/l'L_c$  is ferociously ramified and put

$$G_{i_1, i_2} = \text{Gal}(l'L/l'L_c)_{i_1, i_2}.$$

We obtain a well defined lower filtration  $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_2}$  on  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ .

In a similar way to 17.2, one constructs the Hasse–Herbrand functions  $\Phi_{2,L/K} : \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$  and  $\Psi_{2,L/K} = \Phi_{2,L/K}^{-1}$  which extend  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  respectively. Namely,

$$\Phi_{2,L/K}((i_1, i_2)) = \int_{(0,0)}^{(i_1, i_2)} |\text{Gal}(L/K)_t| dt.$$

These functions have usual properties of the Hasse–Herbrand functions  $\varphi$  and  $h = \psi$ , and one can introduce an  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -indexed upper filtration on any finite or infinite Galois group  $G$ .

#### 17.4. Filtration on $K^{\text{top}}(K)$

In the case of a two-dimensional local field  $K$  the upper ramification filtration for  $K^{\text{ab}}/K$  determines a compatible filtration on  $K_2^{\text{top}}(K)$ . In the case where  $\text{char}(K) = p$  this filtration has an explicit description given below.

From now on, let  $K$  be a two-dimensional local field of prime characteristic  $p$  over a quasi-finite field, and  $k$  the constant subfield of  $K$ . Introduce  $\mathbf{v}$  as in 17.3. Let  $\pi_k$  be a prime of  $k$ .

For all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_+^2$  introduce subgroups

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\alpha &= \{ \{\pi_k, u\} : u \in K, \mathbf{v}(u - 1) \geq \alpha \} \subset VK_2^{\text{top}}(K); \\ Q_\alpha^{(n)} &= \{a \in K_2^{\text{top}}(K) : p^n a \in Q_\alpha\}; \\ S_\alpha &= \text{Cl} \bigcup_{n \geq 0} Q_{p^n \alpha}^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

For a subgroup  $A$ ,  $\text{Cl } A$  denotes the intersection of all open subgroups containing  $A$ .

The subgroups  $S_\alpha$  constitute the heart of the ramification filtration on  $K_2^{\text{top}}(K)$ . Their most important property is that they have nice behaviour in unramified, constant and ferociously ramified extensions.

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose that  $K$  satisfies the following property.*

- (\*) *The extension of constant subfields in any finite unramified extension of  $K$  is also unramified.*

*Let  $L/K$  be either an unramified or a constant totally ramified extension,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_+^2$ . Then we have  $N_{L/K} S_{\alpha, L} = S_{\alpha, K}$ .*

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $K$  be standard,  $L/K$  a cyclic ferociously ramified extension of degree  $p$  with the ramification jump  $h$  in lower numbering,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_+^2$ . Then:*

- (1)  $N_{L/K} S_{\alpha, L} = S_{\alpha+(p-1)h, K}$ , if  $\alpha > h$ ;
- (2)  $N_{L/K} S_{\alpha, L}$  is a subgroup in  $S_{p\alpha, K}$  of index  $p$ , if  $\alpha \leq h$ .

Now we have ingredients to define a decreasing filtration  $\{\text{fil}_\alpha K_2^{\text{top}}(K)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_2}$  on  $K_2^{\text{top}}(K)$ . Assume first that  $\tilde{K}$  satisfies the condition (\*). It follows from [KZ, Th. 3.4.3] that for some purely inseparable constant extension  $K'/K$  the field  $K'$  is almost standard. Since  $K'$  satisfies (\*) and is almost standard, it is in fact standard.

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fil}_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} K_2^{\text{top}}(K) &= S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}; \\ \text{fil}_{i, \alpha_2} K_2^{\text{top}}(K) &= \text{Cl} \bigcup_{\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Q}} \text{fil}_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} K_2^{\text{top}}(K) \text{ for } \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_+; \\ T_K &= \text{Cl} \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_+^2} \text{fil}_\alpha K_2^{\text{top}}(K); \\ \text{fil}_{c, i} K_2^{\text{top}}(K) &= T_K + \{ \{t, u\} : u \in k, v_k(u - 1) \geq i \} \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Q}_+, \\ &\quad \text{if } K = k\{t\} \text{ is standard;} \\ \text{fil}_{c, i} K_2^{\text{top}}(K) &= N_{K'/K} \text{fil}_{c, i} K_2^{\text{top}}(K'), \text{ where } K'/K \text{ is as above;} \\ \text{fil}_0 K_2^{\text{top}}(K) &= U(1)K_2^{\text{top}}(K) + \{t, \mathcal{R}_K\}, \text{ where } U(1)K_2^{\text{top}}(K) = \{1 + P_K(1), K^*\}, \\ &\quad t \text{ is the first local parameter;} \\ \text{fil}_{-1} K_2^{\text{top}}(K) &= K_2^{\text{top}}(K). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for some unramified extension  $\tilde{K}/K$  the field  $\tilde{K}$  satisfies the condition (\*), and we define  $\text{fil}_\alpha K_2^{\text{top}}(K)$  as  $N_{\tilde{K}/K} \text{fil}_\alpha K_2^{\text{top}}(\tilde{K})$  for all  $\alpha \geq 0$ , and  $\text{fil}_{-1} K_2^{\text{top}}(K)$  as  $K_2^{\text{top}}(K)$ . It can be shown that the filtration  $\{\text{fil}_\alpha K_2^{\text{top}}(K)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_2}$  is well defined.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $L/K$  be a finite abelian extension,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . Then  $N_{L/K} \text{fil}_\alpha K_2^{\text{top}}(L)$  is a subgroup in  $\text{fil}_{\Phi_{L/K}(\alpha)} K_2^{\text{top}}(K)$  of index  $|\text{Gal}(L/K)_\alpha|$ . Furthermore,*

$$\text{fil}_{\Phi_{L/K}(\alpha)} K_2^{\text{top}}(K) \cap N_{L/K} K_2^{\text{top}}(L) = N_{L/K} \text{fil}_\alpha K_2^{\text{top}}(L).$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $L/K$  be a finite abelian extension, and let*

$$\Upsilon_{L/K}^{-1} : K_2^{\text{top}}(K)/N_{L/K} K_2^{\text{top}}(L) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)$$

*be the reciprocity map. Then*

$$\Upsilon_{L/K}^{-1}(\text{fil}_\alpha K_2^{\text{top}}(K) \mod N_{L/K} K_2^{\text{top}}(L)) = \text{Gal}(L/K)^\alpha$$

*for any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_2$ .*

**Remarks.** 1. The ramification filtration, constructed in 17.2, does not give information about the classical ramification invariants in general. Therefore, this construction can be considered only as a provisional one.

2. The filtration on  $K_2^{\text{top}}(K)$  constructed in 17.4 behaves with respect to the norm map much better than the usual filtration  $\{U_i K_2^{\text{top}}(K)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ . We hope that this filtration can be useful in the study of the structure of  $K^{\text{top}}$ -groups.

3. In the mixed characteristic case the description of “ramification” filtration on  $K_2^{\text{top}}(K)$  is not very nice. However, it would be interesting to try to modify the ramification filtration on  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  in order to get the filtration on  $K_2^{\text{top}}(K)$  similar to that described in 17.4.

4. It would be interesting to compute ramification of the extensions constructed in sections 13 and 14.

## References

- [E] H. Epp, Eliminating wild ramification, *Invent. Math.* 19 (1973), pp. 235–249
- [F] I. Fesenko, Abelian local  $p$ -class field theory, *Math. Ann.* 301 (1995), 561–586.
- [H] O. Hyodo, Wild ramification in the imperfect residue field case, *Advanced Stud. in Pure Math.* 12 (1987) Galois Representation and Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry, 287–314.
- [KS] K. Kato and T. Saito, Vanishing cycles, ramification of valuations and class field theory, *Duke Math. J.*, 55 (1997), 629–659
- [KZ] M. V. Koroteev and I. B. Zhukov, Elimination of wild ramification, *Algebra i Analiz* 11 (1999), no. 6.
- [Z] I. B. Zhukov, On ramification theory in the imperfect residue field case, preprint of Nottingham University 98-02, Nottingham, 1998, [www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/Algebraic-Number-Theory/0113](http://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/Algebraic-Number-Theory/0113), to appear in Proceedings of the Luminy conference on Ramification theory for arithmetic schemes.

*Department of Mathematics and Mechanics St. Petersburg University  
Bibliotechnaya pl. 2, Staryj Petergof  
198904 St. Petersburg Russia  
E-mail: igor@zhukov.pdmi.ras.ru*